

Introducing Pre-Sentence Reports in the Serbian Criminal Justice System: Comparative Experiences and Potential Benefits*

Olga Tešović¹ 

The Serbian criminal justice system currently lacks pre-sentence reports, a tool widely adopted in jurisdictions like the UK, USA, and several EU countries to inform sentencing decisions and promote offender rehabilitation. This absence hinders the ability to deliver individualized and appropriate sentencing, while limiting the assessment of offenders' risks and reintegration needs. This paper explores the concept of pre-sentence reports, drawing on international comparative experiences to evaluate their potential benefits for Serbia. By examining established practices in other countries, this study highlights how pre-sentence reports could improve sentencing outcomes, enhance rehabilitation efforts, and reduce the system's heavy reliance on custodial sentences. Additionally, it outlines the reforms needed to align Serbia's legal framework with modern restorative justice principles, offering insights into how the introduction of pre-sentence reports could contribute to a more effective and humane justice system.

KEYWORDS: pre-sentence reports / serbian criminal justice system / comparative experiences / rehabilitation / sentencing / restorative justice

* This paper was presented by Olga Tešović as part of her keynote address at the International Scientific Conference "Life in Prison", organised by the Institute of Criminological and Sociological Research and held in Belgrade, Serbia, from 2 to 3 December 2024.

Recommended citation: Tešović, O. (2024). Introducing Pre-Sentence Reports in the Serbian Criminal Justice System: Comparative Experiences and Potential Benefits. In M. Milićević, I. Stevanović, & Lj. Ilijić (Eds.), *Proceedings of the International Scientific Conference "Life in Prison: Criminological, Penological, Psychological, Sociological, Legal, Security, and Medical Issues"* (pp. 109–114). Institute of Criminological and Sociological Research. <https://doi.org/10.47152/PrisonLIFE2024.24>

¹ PhD, Research Fellow. <https://orcid.org/0009-0005-3121-8752>

Correspondence: Olga Tešović. Email: otolgates@gmail.com

The Role of Pre-Sentence Reports in Modern Criminal Justice Systems

Pre-sentence reports are vital tools used within criminal justice systems to assist judges in determining appropriate sentences for convicted individuals. These reports, typically prepared by probation officers, offer a comprehensive analysis of the offender's background, the circumstances surrounding the offense, and the potential for rehabilitation (van Kalmthout & Durnescu, 2008). The primary function of a pre-sentence reports is to provide an informed recommendation to the court, balancing the interests of justice, public safety, and the potential for the offender's reintegration into society.

The content of a pre-sentence reports often includes personal and family history, employment and educational background, mental and physical health assessments, and any previous criminal record. Additionally, it may contain victim impact statements and insights into the offender's attitude towards the crime. In some jurisdictions, pre-sentence reports may also suggest specific types of sentences, such as community service, probation, or custodial sentences, and may recommend tailored rehabilitation programs (HM Inspectorate of Probation, 2021). The objective is to ensure that sentencing is not solely punitive but also considers the offender's capacity for reform, aligning with modern principles of restorative justice.

The utilization of pre-sentence reports varies significantly across different criminal justice systems, reflecting diverse legal traditions, sentencing philosophies, and procedural frameworks.

In the United Kingdom, pre-sentence reports are integral to sentencing, particularly for serious offenses, and are prepared by probation officers to help tailor sentences that balance the severity of the crime with the offender's rehabilitation. The UK emphasizes individualized justice, with these reports playing a crucial role in reducing reoffending, and the Probation Service is legally required to provide them whenever needed (HM Inspectorate of Probation, 2021).

In the United States, pre-sentence reports are widely used in federal and state courts for felonies, prepared by federal or state probation officers. These reports often include a guideline calculation to assist in determining the sentencing range, though judges can depart from these guidelines based on the report's details. The accuracy of these reports is crucial, as they significantly influence decisions on imprisonment, fines, and supervised release (US Sentencing Commission, 2018).

Across the EU, the use of pre-sentence reports varies. In countries like Germany and the Netherlands, pre-sentence reports are integrated into the sentencing process, particularly for cases involving youth offenders or when the court is contemplating alternatives to incarceration. In Germany, for example,

these reports are often prepared by social workers who assess the offender's personal circumstances and the potential impact of different sentencing options. Meanwhile, in Sweden, pre-sentence reports are a standard part of the sentencing procedure for adult offenders, with a focus on assessing the likelihood of reoffending and the offender's needs for specific interventions (CEP Probation, 2018; Persson & Svensson, 2012).

Barriers to Integrating Pre-Sentence Reports in Serbia's Legal Framework

The Serbian criminal justice system is grounded in the principles outlined in the Criminal Procedure Code (CPC), which governs the process of criminal investigation, prosecution, trial, and sentencing. The current legal framework emphasizes procedural rights for defendants, the role of the judiciary, and the proportionality of criminal sanctions. However, Serbia's system, like many others in the region, is marked by a reliance on custodial sentences and a limited use of alternative sanctions, such as conditional sentence with protective measures or community service (Kolaković-Bojović et al., 2022; Tešović, 2020).

The CPC outlines thorough regulations for investigation and trial processes, but introducing pre-sentence reports would require comprehensive, systematic reforms to both the CPC and related regulations. These changes would need to formally integrate probation services into the pre-sentencing phase, representing a significant shift in the existing legal framework. Such reforms are necessary to align with the growing emphasis on rehabilitative and restorative justice practices, which are already being adopted in many parts of Europe and North America..

In the absence of pre-sentence reports, Serbian courts predominantly rely on the information presented during the trial and the investigation phases when determining sentences. Judges may consider the defendant's criminal history, the circumstances of the crime, and any mitigating or aggravating factors presented by the defense or prosecution. However, this process lacks the systematic evaluation of the defendant's background, psychological state, and social environment that is typical in jurisdictions where pre-sentence reports are used.

Probation in Serbia is largely considered after sentencing, with probation officers overseeing non-custodial sentences. Without pre-sentence reports, courts miss the opportunity to tailor sentences to individual circumstances, often defaulting to incarceration. This gap limits the use of alternative sanctions, contributing to prison overcrowding and reducing the focus on rehabilitation and reintegration.

Comparative Strategies for Implementing Pre-Sentence Reports: Lessons from International Practice

Countries such as the UK, USA, and various EU member states have demonstrated effective strategies for introducing pre-sentence reports, offering valuable insights for other jurisdictions considering similar reforms. A phased implementation, beginning with pilot programs, has been particularly successful in countries like Sweden and the UK (HM Inspectorate of Probation, 2021; Persson & Svensson, 2012). These pilot initiatives allowed for a gradual assessment and fine-tuning of the approach before broader adoption, providing the flexibility to address local challenges and refine procedures over time.

The quality of pre-sentence reports is closely tied to the training and expertise of probation officers. In both the UK and Germany, specialized training programs in risk assessment, interviewing techniques, and report writing ensure the reliability and consistency of reports (van Kalmthout & Durnescu, 2008). These programs emphasize professional development, enabling probation officers to offer comprehensive insights into offenders' backgrounds and needs, supporting judicial decision-making.

In Germany and the Netherlands, pre-sentence reports are integrated with rehabilitation services, frequently including recommendations for interventions such as vocational training or addiction treatment, aligning with restorative justice principles (Tešović & de Klerk, 2021). By focusing on long-term reintegration, these reports shift the emphasis from punishment to rehabilitation, offering a more sustainable approach to reducing recidivism.

Inter-agency collaboration enhances the effectiveness of pre-sentence reports. In Sweden, strong coordination between probation services, courts, and social services ensures that reports provide a holistic understanding of offenders' circumstances (CEP Probation, 2018). This multidisciplinary approach enriches the reports and contributes to more balanced sentencing outcomes.

The acceptance of pre-sentence reports hinges on judicial and public support. In the UK and USA, judicial training and public awareness campaigns have promoted the benefits of non-custodial sanctions and individualized justice (Webster, 2023). These efforts have fostered a cultural shift toward rehabilitative sentencing, emphasizing societal benefits such as reduced reliance on incarceration in favor of alternative sanctions.

International evidence consistently shows that pre-sentence reports improve sentencing practices, enhance rehabilitation outcomes, and alleviate prison overcrowding. By providing judges with detailed offender insights, these reports facilitate more individualized sentencing, reducing the use of imprisonment (Webster, 2023). In Sweden and the Netherlands, pre-sentence reports have

proven effective in reducing recidivism through targeted interventions like addiction treatment and vocational training (CEP Probation, 2018; Persson & Svensson, 2012). Furthermore, the application of alternative sanctions has contributed to alleviating prison overcrowding, improving the psychological well-being of both inmates and staff (Haney, 2006).

Conclusion

The potential benefits of introducing pre-sentence reports in Serbia's criminal justice system are highlighted by their proven effectiveness in enabling fairer and more individualized sentencing in other jurisdictions, such as the United Kingdom and the United States. These reports have been instrumental in promoting the use of alternative sanctions, reducing recidivism, and addressing prison overcrowding. In contrast, the absence of such reports in Serbia has led to a heavy reliance on custodial sentences, exacerbating these challenges.

Implementing pre-sentence reports would require comprehensive legal reforms, enhancement of probation services, and the establishment of standardized procedures. Aligning Serbia's criminal justice practices with international best practices through the adoption of pre-sentence reports could significantly improve justice outcomes, benefiting both offenders and society.

References

- Code of Criminal Procedure of the Republic of Serbia – CPC, Official Gazette of RS, no. 62/2021 (2021).
- Haney, C. (2006). The Wages of Prison Overcrowding: Harmful Psychological Consequences and Dysfunctional Correctional Reactions. *Washington University Journal of Law & Policy*, 22, 265-293.
- Kolaković-Bojović, M., Batričević, A., & Matić-Bošković, M. (2022). *Analysis of the impact of the application of alternative sanctions and measures in the Republic of Serbia in the period from 2015 to 2020*. Institute of Criminological and Sociological Research, Belgrade
- Persson, A., & Svensson, K. (2012). Shades of professionalism: Risk assessment in pre-sentence reports in Sweden. *European Journal of Criminology*, 9(3), 176–190. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1477370811424985>
- Tešović, O. (2020). *Manual for the application of alternative sanctions*. Forum of Judges of Serbia, Belgrade
- Tešović, O., & de Klerk, L. (2021). The alternative sanctions systems in Serbia and the Netherlands: comparative analysis with examples from case law. *Journal of Criminology and Criminal Law*, 59(3), 67–94. <https://doi.org/10.47152/rkkp.59.3.4>
- van Kalmthout, A. M., & Durnescu, I. (2008). European Probation Service Systems; A comparative overview. In A.M. van Kalmthout, & I. Durnescu (Eds.). *Probation in Europe* (pp. 1–42). Wolf Legal Publishers (WLP).

Web Pages

CEP Probation (2018). *Sweden – Pre-sentence reports and probation in Europe*.
<https://www.cep-probation.org/knowledgebases/sweden/>, accessed on August 8, 2024

Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Probation. 2021. *Court Reports*.
<https://www.justiceinspectors.gov.uk/hmiprobation/our-work/court-reports/>,
accessed on August 8, 2024

U.S. Sentencing Commission. (2018). *Guidelines Manual*. U.S. Sentencing Commission.
<https://www.ussc.gov/guidelines/guidelines-archive/2018-guidelines-manual-annotated>,
accessed on August 8, 2024

Webster, R. (2023). *The importance of pre-sentence reports*.
<https://www.russellwebster.com/the-importance-of-pre-sentence-reports/>, accessed
on August 8, 2024